(b) The requisite information to the extent available is given in the annexed Statement.

(c) and (d) The existing price of Analgin is based on the study of three units, namely, IDPL, Shasun and SIRIS. No instance of overcharging of the price of Analgin by these units has come to the notice of Government.

Statement

S. No.	Name of the formulation	Pack Size	Price Prior to 1-6-1990 with data (Rs.)	Price of bulk drug (Analgin) taken (Rs./kg.)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1	Analgin Tablet 500 mg/Tab. (Novalgin Tablet)	10x10's/AL	40·98 (26-10-1989)	313·00
2	Analgin Tablet 500 mg/Tab. (Novalgin Tablet)	1000's B/T	364·73 (10-3-1989)	313·00
3	Analgin Injection 500 mg/ml (Novalgin Inj.)	30 ml Vial	14·44 (26-10-1989)	313·00
4	Analgin Injection 500 mg/ml (Novalgin Inj.)	10 ml Vial	6·74 (26-10-1989)	313·00
5	Analgin Injection 500 mg/ml (Novalgin Inj.)	10x2 ml Amp.	22·52 (26-10-1989)	313·00
6	Analgin Injection 500 mg/ml (Novalgin Inj.)	5x5 ml Amp.	18·30 (26-10-1989)	313·30

Complaints against overcharging of prices

403. CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that his ministry have received complaints against overcharging of prices by drug companies;

(b) if so, the number of complaints received after the announcement of DPCO, 1987 till 30th June, 1991, and (c) what are the products involved and what action has been taken against each of those companies?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) Yes Sir.

(b) and (c) The names of the companies and products involved are given below:—

Sl. No.	Name of the Company	Name of the product
1	M/s Sarabhai M Chemicals	Vitamin C
2	M/s Warner Hindustan	Isokin
3	M/s Boehringer Knoll Ltd.	Englucon
4	M/s IDPL	Imported bulk drug
5	M/s A.P. Chemicals	Paracetamol
6	M/s Malladi drugs	Ephedrine
7	M/s Krupa Traders	Rifampicin
8	M/s IDPL	Sulphadimidine
9	M/s Sandoz (I) Ltd.	Multi vitamin
10	M/s Pfizer (I) Ltd.	Do.
11	M/s Lupin Labs.	Rifampicin and Ethambutol Combiflame
12	Crews Pharmaceuticals	Combiflame

Examination of compl ints received against the drug compaanies on the issues of overch arging and related matter is an ongoing process and all these cases are at various stages of examination.

References to the collectors for recovery of amounts due under DPEA

404. CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what are the details of references made to the collectors for recovery of amounts due under DPEA as arrears of land revenue after the Supreme Court Judgement on the drug prices litigation;

(b) whether it is a fact that Government have withdrawn certain references;

(c) if so, what are the details thereof and on what basis these references were withdrawn; and

(d) what steps have been taken to refer the matter to collectors for recovery in cases where amounts have been communicated to drug companies up to 30th June, 1991?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) to (c) In February, 1988, Department had requested the Deputy Commissioner, Delhi to issue necessary certificates under section 3 of Revenue Recovery Act 1990, to Collector of Bombay for affecting recovery of the amounts due from some of the Drug Companies for deposit in the DPEA. Subsequently, however it was decided, in April 1988, to stay proceedings against any company who had made part payment. The recovery certificates were, therefore, returned by the collectors in those cases.

(d) The question of recovering the amount as arrears of land revenue by referring the matter to collector concerned will be considered.

Prices of Flucort range of formulations

405. CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer answer to Unstarred Question 219 given in Raya Sabha on